

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/593,411	CHEVALLIER-MAMES ET AL.
	Examiner Christopher A. Revak	Art Unit 2431

All Participants:

(1) Christopher A. Revak. (3) _____.

(2) James LaBarre. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2010

Status of Application: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

25

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner noted that there existed a hyperlink in the specification and that section headers were missing from the specification. The examiner also noted that claim 25 didn't appear to be further limiting to claim 1, the applicant's representative submitted a proposed claim amendment in which the examiner was authorized to conduct an examiner's amendment in order to compact prosecution.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christopher A. Revak/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)